

**Ref: G/OIB/NPWR-10/2022** 

#### STANDARD FORM

for

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT**

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

Namibia Power Corporation (PTY) Ltd NamPower Centre 15 Luther Street PO Box 2864 Windhoek, Namibia

Email address: bidclarifications@nampower.com.na

|Website: www.nampower.com.na

# **Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report**

# **Project Title**

# Reference number of procurement: G/OIB/NPWR-10/2022

1. **Scope of Contract:** Supply and Delivery of ACSR Tern Conductor

2. **Procurement method:** Open International Bidding

3. **Date of Invitation of Bids**: 10 June 2022

4. Closing date for submission of bids: 29 July 2022

5. **Date and place of opening of bids:** 29 July 2022, Omusati Boardroom

6. Number of bids received by closing date: 11

7. Responsiveness of bids:

Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive	
A&P Engineering Services JV Yifang Electric Group Inc.	98,574,630.00	No	The Bid was deemed non-compliant to the technical requirements due to the omission of Type Tests confirming the specified requirements, and not considered for Financial Evaluation. Submitted Type tests were not for the conductor type specified.	
Alcon Marepha (Pty) Ltd	106,099,200.00	No	The Bid was deemed non-compliant to the technical requirements due to the omission of Type Tests confirming the specified requirements, and not considered for Financial Evaluation.	
Apar Industries Limited	82,170,591.56	Yes		

Faradayz Investments CC	69,729,241.56	No	<ul> <li>The Bidder is not considered an OEM, as per the Bid Requirements.</li> <li>BF-05 Manufacturer's Authorization was issued to a completely different company.</li> <li>The Bidder used their own form BF-08 Price Schedule, and not the one provided in the Bid Document.</li> </ul>
First Polymer Traders Namibia Pty Ltd	79,115,742.00	No	<ul> <li>The Bidder is not considered an OEM, as per the Bid Requirements.</li> <li>BF-02 Bid Submission Form is incomplete, without providing details on Nationality or the submitted bid price.</li> <li>BF-06 Bidder's Experience Form was not for similar types of materials</li> <li>BF-07 Compliance to Technical Specifications was not fully completed.</li> </ul>
Midal Cables International Limitada	75,324,818.51	No	• The Bid was deemed non-compliant to the technical requirements due to the omission of Type Tests confirming the specified requirements, and not considered for Financial Evaluation. Submitted type tests were for other conductor types, and not the specified type of conductor.
M&H Trading Enterprise CC	106,968,854.25	No	<ul> <li>The Bidder is not considered an OEM, as per the Bid Requirements.</li> <li>BF-06 Bidder's Experience Form was for the OEM, and not for the Bidder, thus confirming that the Bidder does not have the required capacity.</li> </ul>
People's Cable Group Co. Ltd.	66,114,906.00	No	The Bid was deemed non-compliant to the technical requirements due to the omission of Type Tests

			confirming the specified requirements, and not considered for Financial Evaluation. Submitted Type tests were not for the conductor type specified.
Shangadi Investment Group CC	157,398,900.00	No	<ul> <li>The Bidder is not considered an OEM, as per the Bid Requirements.</li> <li>The form BF-04 Bid Securing Declaration did not contain the full name of the Bidder in the designated area.</li> <li>BF-05 Manufacturer's Authorisation was issued to a completely different company. BF-06 Bidder's Experience Form was for the OEM, and not for the Bidder, thus confirming that the Bidder does not have the required capacity</li> </ul>
Namibian Freshness (Pty) Ltd JV Henan Tano Cable Co. Ltd	70,714,865.83	No	<ul> <li>BF01 Bidder Information Form contains conflicting information regarding the submitting party. During the opening of the bids, the Bidder was read out as a Joint Venture, but it is not clear whether it is Namibian Freshness (PTY) Ltd, Tano Cables or a Joint Venture that is submitting the bid. A JV Undertaking was found.</li> <li>Namibian Freshness (PTY) Ltd is not considered an OEM, as per the Bid Requirements. Even if the JV is considered valid, it would not qualify as a bona fide OEM.</li> <li>The form BF-04 Bid Securing Declaration did not contain the full name of the Bidder in the designated area.</li> <li>The form BF-05 Manufacturer's Authorisation is made out to Namibian Freshness (PTY) Ltd and not the Joint Venture.</li> <li>BF-06 Bidder's Experience Form was for Henan Tano Cables only, and not for the Joint Venture, thus</li> </ul>

			confirming that the Bidder does not have the required capacity.	
Transrail Lighting Limited	110,523,684.00	No	<ul> <li>The Bid was deemed non-compliant to the technical requirements due to the omission of Type Tests confirming the specified requirements, and not considered for Financial Evaluation. Submitted Type tests did not contain all required parameters</li> </ul>	

8. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive: 1

Name	A. Price at Bid Opening N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections including contingencies	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Rank
Apar Industries	82,170,591.56				1
Limited					

9. Best Evaluated Bid: Apar Industries Limited.